1 2 3 4 5	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP STACIE O. KINSER (Cal. Bar No. 300529) stacie.kinser@pillsburylaw.com JEREMY F. RUEF (Cal Bar No. 336472) jeremy.ruef@pillsburylaw.com Four Embarcadero Center, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 9411 Telephone: 415.983.1000 FACSIMILE: 415.983.1200	JOSEPH P. MCMONIGLE, Bar No. 66811 JESSICA R. MACGREGOR, Bar No. 168777 LONG & LEVIT LLP 465 California Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 397-2222 Facsimile: (415) 397-6392 Email: jmcmonigle@longlevit.com jmacgregor@longlevit.com	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP CASEY LOW (Tex. Bar No. 24041363) Pro Hac Vice casey.low@pillsburylaw.com SARAH GOETZ (Tex. Bar No. 24109930) Pro Hac Vice sarah.goetz@pillsburylaw.com 401 Congress Ave., Suite 1700 Austin, TX 78701 Telephone: 512.580.9600 Facsimile: 512.375.4901 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant SCHRADER CELLARS, LLC	JOHN W. NEWTON, III (pro hac vice)  Texas Bar No. 14983300  MANUEL LOPEZ (pro hac vice)  Texas Bar No. 00784495  ROACH NEWTON, LLP  One Westchase Center  10777 Westheimer Road, Suite 1100  Houston, TX 77042  Telephone: (713) 652-2032  Facsimile: (713) 652-2029  Email: jnewton@roachnewton.com  mlopez@roachnewton.com  (Continued on Signature Page)  Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant ROBERT M. (RANDY) ROACH, JR.	
17 18		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	SCHRADER CELLARS, LLC,	Case No. 3:21-cv-01431-SK	
20	Plaintiff,	JOINT PROPOSED STATEMENTS OF THE CASE TO BE READ DURING VOIR DIRE	
21	v.	CASE TO BE READ DURING VOIR DIRE	
22	ROBERT M. (RANDY) ROACH, JR.		
23	Defendant.		
24			
25	<u>PRELIMINAR</u>	Y STATEMENT	
26	Pursuant to the Court's Case Management and Pretrial Order (Dkt. 53) Plaintiff Schrade		
27	Cellars, LLC ("Schrader Cellars") and Defendant Robert M. (Randy) Roach, Jr. ("Roach") hereby		
28	jointly submit their respective proposed statements of the case to be read to the jury during voir dire.		

## 

# 

### 

#### PROPOSED STATEMENTS OF THE CASE

#### A. Schrader Cellars' Proposed Statement

This case concerns a lawyer, Defendant Randy Roach, and his attempts to claim an ownership interest in his former client, Plaintiff Schrader Cellars, LLC, and its assets. Roach asserts his claim of ownership based on a handshake agreement with his former client, Fred Schrader. Meanwhile, Schrader Cellars claims that by seeking to enforce the alleged handshake agreement, Roach breached his fiduciary duties owed as an attorney by failing to abide by California's restrictions on business transactions between attorneys and their clients. This Court has already determined that the oral agreement Roach claims existed did not comport with California law and thus cannot be enforced.

The claims brought by Schrader Cellars to be decided by you are: (1) whether Randy Roach breached his fiduciary duty to Schrader Cellars in a manner that caused injury to Schrader Cellars by seeking to enforce the handshake agreement, and if so, the corresponding damage to Schrader Cellars, and (2) whether Randy Roach was unjustly enriched from that alleged breach of fiduciary duty and amount of that unjust enrichment.

#### **B.** Roach's Proposed Statement

This suit involves a claim by Plaintiff, Schrader Cellars, LLC against Defendant Robert M. (Randy) Roach, Jr. in which Schrader Cellars alleges Roach breached fiduciary duties to Schrader Cellars.

Schrader Cellars claims it has been damaged by Roach's breach of fiduciary duty and, also, that Roach has been unjustly enriched as a result of his breach of fiduciary duty. To prevail, Schrader Cellars has the burden to prove: (1) Roach owed a fiduciary duty to Schrader Cellars; Roach breached his fiduciary duty to Schrader Cellars; the breach proximately caused damage to Schrader Cellars; and, (2) Schrader Cellars also has the burden to prove any unjust enrichment by Roach.

Roach denies Schrader Cellars' claims and denies that Schrader Cellars has been damaged in any way. He further denies that he has been unjustly enriched. Roach also claims that Schrader Cellars' breach of fiduciary duty claim was not filed within the appropriate period of time and that Schrader Cellars would be unjustly enriched by a judgment in its favor.

Case No: 3:21-CV-01431-SK

1	Pagnaetfully submitted	
1	Respectfully submitted,	
2	Dated: February 9, 2023	Dated: February 9, 2023
3 4	By: <u>/s/ Stacie O. Kinser</u> Stacie O. Kinser	By: <u>/s/ Jessica R. MacGregor</u> Jessica R. MacGregor
5		(Defendant's Counsel Continued)
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant SCHRADER CELLARS, LLC	MIKAL C. WATTS (pro hac vice) Texas Bar No. 20981820 EDANGISCO CHERRA IV (pro hac vice)
7		FRANCISCO GUERRA IV (pro hac vice) Texas Bar No. 00796684
8		MARK A.J. FASSOLD ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Texas Bar No. 24012609
9		LANCE P. KIMBRO ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Texas Bar No. 24124779
10		JULIE A. MATSEN ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Texas Bar No. 24115654
11		WATTS GUERRA, LLP
12		4 Dominion Drive Bldg. 3, Suite 100
13		San Antonio, Texas 78257
14		Telephone: (210) 447-0500 Facsimile: (210) 447-0501
15		Email: <a href="mcwatts@wattsguerra.com">mcwatts@wattsguerra.com</a> fguerra@wattsguerra.com
16		mfassold@wattsguerra.com
17		<u>lkimbro@wattsguerra.com</u> <u>jmatsen@wattsguerra.com</u>
18		Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant ROBERT M. (RANDY) ROACH, JR.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		